

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>PREFACE .....</b>	<b>xxiv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1.....</b>	<b>1</b>
The Core Inquiry .....	2
Why Parties? .....	4
Definitions .....	6
“Elite”.....	7
A Historical Approach: What is a Ninetieth-Century Political Party? .....	8
The Rise or Persistence of the Centre-Periphery Cleavage in Europe .....	13
The Setting: Diversity in the Austrian-Hungarian Empire.....	18
What is Democracy?: The Dissertation’s Approach .....	20
Part I: Democracy as a Bundle of Reforms from Above .....	24
Understanding Suffrage Reforms Before Universal Suffrage .....	26
Part II: Democracy: Mobilization from Below .....	29
Democracy, Diversity, and Development .....	33
<b>CHAPTER 2.....</b>	<b>37</b>
Parties as Actors: Incentives During Democratization.....	37
Challenging Lipset and Rokkan: Parties as Building Blocks, Cleavages as Grout .....	37
Parties: Actors Driving Regime Change.....	39
Breaking Unit-homogeneity: The Two Types of Parties .....	42
A Timing Argument: Parties During Episodes of Democratization .....	46
Parliamentary Parties: “Who Gets to Play?” .....	51
Group-level Determinates .....	53
Why Enfranchise? Threats to Incumbency and Threats to the Nation .....	54
Threats to Incumbency: The Need for a Minimum-winning Coalition .....	55

Measuring Size and Cohesion .....	59
Summary of the Cases: When Size and Cohesion Matter.....	62
<b>Extra-parliamentary Parties: <i>Associational Endowments</i> .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<i>Associational Endowments</i> : The Mechanism Behind Party Survival .....	74
<b>Scope Conditions of the Dissertation.....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3.....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Part 1: The Enfranchisement of Working Men? .....</b>	<b>87</b>
Historical Background: Changes to Electoral Institutions in the Austrian Half of the Empire During Democratization (1873-1896).....	89
Analysis Part 1: Nationalist Tensions in the Imperial Parliament Direct Elections.....	92
<b>Part 2: “Universal” Manhood Suffrage? .....</b>	<b>98</b>
A Conservative Coalition Facing Cumulated Threats: 1896 and 1907 Electoral Reforms.....	100
Historical Background: The Organized Catholic Working-class.....	104
Analysis Part 2: Qualified Universal Male Suffrage.....	106
<b>After Qualified, Yet Equal, Male Suffrage .....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4.....</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Argument: Who Gets to Play? .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>Historical Background: The 1867 Compromise.....</b>	<b>126</b>
Party Politics and Pre-Democratic Elections in Hungary (1867-1918) .....	130
The Intervention in the Case-Specific Literature: Bringing the Nation into Class-based Story of Political Development .....	132
<b>Historical Analysis: <i>Who is who—the Actors—in Democratizing Hungary?</i> .....</b>	<b>134</b>
Analysis Part 1: Act XXXIII of 1874, Uneven Taxation and the Enfranchisement of <i>Small</i> Out-groups .....	140
Analysis Part 2: “No Bourgeoisie, No Democracy?”: The Enfranchisement of Incohesive, Assimilated Jews, The 1913 Electoral Law .....	144
<b>Into Chapter 5: Parties that Care .....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5.....</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Parties that Care.....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Historical Background: Associational Life in Hungary and Emergence of Extra-Parliamentary Parties .....</b>	<b>155</b>
Argument: Parties Politicize Civil Society Through Social Services .....	158
<b>Empirical Strategy and Data.....</b>	<b>171</b>
The Independent Variable: Measuring Associational Endowments .....	171
The Dependent Variable: Measuring Party Survival Through the Lowering of the Electoral Threshold and Party Dominance During the Lowering of the Electoral Threshold .....	177
Controls .....	182
Empirical Strategy .....	182

<b>Findings .....</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>Chapter's Conclusion: Towards <i>Nationalist</i> Constituencies .....</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6.....</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>Historical Background: From Dualism to “Democracy”? The Demise of a Diverse Kingdom After WWI.....</b>	<b>198</b>
Party Politics: The Formation of The Unity Party, <i>Egyéges Párt</i> .....	200
Institutions: Not-so-democratic Elections in Inter-war Hungary.....	203
<b>Argument: The Final Link in the Dissertation’s Casual Chain .....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>Empirical Strategy and Data.....</b>	<b>213</b>
The Dependent Variable: Party Dominance and Party Survival .....	213
The Independent Variable: Access to Associations .....	215
<b>Findings and Discussion: Access and Cumulative Identities.....</b>	<b>218</b>
<b>Conclusion: Access to Service Provision, Democratization, and State Capture?.....</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7.....</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>Conclusion: The Main Takeaways .....</b>	<b>226</b>
Causes of (dis)Enfranchisement: Threats to Incumbency and Cumulated Threats.....	226
Consequences of (dis)Enfranchisement.....	231
<b>Closing remarks .....</b>	<b>237</b>
Generalizable Lessons .....	238
<b>Appendix A: The Enfranchisement in Europe Dataset.....</b>	<b>240</b>
<b>Appendix B: Chapter 5 Appendix .....</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>Appendix C: Chapter 6 Appendix .....</b>	<b>248</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>250</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 2.1: Sequencing of Groups' Enfranchisement According to Cohesion and Size</i>	58
<i>Table 2.2: Cohesion, Threats, and Enfranchisement</i>	61
<i>Table 2.3: Who Gets to Play? Group-Level Characteristics</i>	65
<i>Table 2.4: Five Cases of Electoral Law in Chapters 3 and 4</i>	70
<i>Table 2.5 (5.1): Different Types of Associations, Examples</i>	77
<i>Table 2.6: Hypotheses and Data: Relevant Chapters</i>	81
<i>Table 4.1: How Diverse was the Kingdom of Hungary? The Magyar In-group Plurality (1839, in thousands)</i>	134
<i>Table 4.2: National Groups in Democratizing Hungary, Table 2.1 for the Kingdom of Hungary</i>	140
<i>Table 4.3: How the 1874 Law Affected (dis)Enfranchisement in the Kingdom of Hungary</i>	142
<i>Table 5.1 (2.4): Different Types of Associations, Examples</i>	163
<i>Table 5.2: Parties and Associations Included in the Democratizing Sample (1878-1910)</i>	175
<i>Table 5.3: Party Survival After Universal Male Suffrage</i>	186
<i>Table 5.4: Multi-level OLS Models: Presence of Associations (Service, or otherwise) and Mobilization (Electoral Dominance) During Democratization (1878-1910)</i>	191
<i>Table 6.1: Party [Families] in the Hungarian Party System (1919-1935)</i>	214
<i>Table 6.2: Multi-level OLS Models: Access to Pre-democratic Associational Endowments and Post-democratic Party Survival (1926-1935)</i>	221

## LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1.1: The Distribution of “Races” in the Austrian-Hungarian Empire</i>	19
<i>Figure 1.2: The Dissertation’s Causal Chain</i>	24
<i>Figure 1.3: The Cases on a Timeline, Before, After and During the Lowering of the Electoral Threshold</i>	33
<i>Figure 2.1: The Survival of Parties and the Origins of Cleavages</i>	50
<i>Figure 3.1: Working-class Mobilization and Universal Suffrage (1890-1930)</i>	111
<i>Figure 4.1: Argument and Structure for the Hungarian Case</i>	134
<i>Figure 5.1: Associations Opened in the Kingdom of Hungary (1880-1910)</i>	164
<i>Figure 5.2: Associations Opened in the Kingdom of Hungary 1880-1910, by Religion</i>	169
<i>Figure 5.3: Fodor Ferenc’s Maps, the Source for the Dependent Variable</i>	178
<i>Figure 5.4: Party Dominance in the Kingdom of Hungary’s Electoral Districts (1878-1910)</i>	180
<i>Figure 5.5: Party Dominance in the Kingdom of Hungary, Contemporary Hungary (1878-1910)</i>	189
<i>Figure 5.6: Coefficient Plot for OLS Models: Presence, Service Provision by Non-state Associations, and Mobilization During Democratization (1878-1910)</i>	192
<i>Figure 6.1: Breakdown of the Vote in Hungary (1926-1935)</i>	201
<i>Figure 6.2: Coefficient Plot for OLS: Access to Endowments During Democratization and Parties’ Electoral Success During the Interwar Period (1926-1925)</i>	219